Algorithm 750: CDT: A Subroutine for the Exact Solution of Large-Scale, Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problems

G. CARPANETO University of Modena

M. DELL'AMICO Politecnico di Milano and P. TOTH University of Bologna

The Fortran code CDT, implementing an algorithm for the asymmetric traveling salesman problem, is presented. The method is based on the Assignment Problem relaxation and on a subtour elimination branching scheme. The effectiveness of the implementation derives from reduction procedures and parametric solution of the relaxed problems associated with the nodes of the branch-decision tree.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: D.3.2 [**Programming Languages**] Language Classifications—*Fortran*; G.2.1 [**Discrete Mathematics**]. Combinatorics—*combinatorial algorithms*; G.2.2 [**Discrete Mathematics**]: Graph Theory—*graph algorithms*; *path and circuit problems* General Terms: Algorithms

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Assignment problem, asymmetric traveling salesman problem, branch and bound, reduction procedure, subtour elimination

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complete digraph G = (V, A) with vertex set $V = \{1, ..., n\}$, arc set $A = \{(i, j): i \in V, j \in V\}$, and a cost $a_{i,j}$ associated with each arc $(i, j) \in A$ $(a_{i,i} = \infty \forall i \in V)$. The asymmetric traveling salesman problem (ATSP) is to find a circuit visiting all vertices in V once (*circuit*) and such that the sum z^* of the costs of its arcs is minimum. Without loss of

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No 4, December 1995, Pages 410-415

This research was supported by MURST (Italy) and by the Human Capital and Mobility Project CHRX-CT93-0087 of the European Community.

Authors' addresses. G. Carpaneto, Dipartimento di Economia, University of Modena, Italy; M. Dell'Amico, Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione, Politecnico di Milano, Italy: P. Toth, Dipartimento di Elettronica Informatica e Sisternistica, Universita degli Studi di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, Italy

Permission to make digital/hard copy of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, the copyright notice, the title of the publication, and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of ACM. Inc. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. © 1995 ACM 0098-3500/95/1200-0410 \$03 50

generality, we will assume that costs are nonnegative integers. The problem is known to be *NP-hard*. The code, implementing the lowest first branchand-bound algorithm CDT presented in Carpaneto et al. [1990], is based on the Assignment Problem (AP) relaxation and a subtour elimination branching scheme. At each node h of the decision tree algorithm CDT solves a Modified Assignment Problem (MAP_h), that is, an AP with additional constraints associated with arc subsets E_h and I_h , where:

 $\{E_h = (i, j) \in A: arc(i, j) \text{ is excluded from the optimal solution}\};$

 $\{I_h = (i, j) \in A: \operatorname{arc}(i, j) \text{ belongs to the optimal solution} \}.$

If the optimal solution to MAP_h does not define a Hamiltonian circuit, and its value LB_h (giving the lower bound associated with node h) is less than the current optimal solution value, say UB, then descending nodes are generated from node h according to a subtour elimination branching scheme (see Carpaneto and Toth [1980]) derived from that of Bellmore and Malone [1971].

There are two kinds of nodes in the decision tree: *active* nodes (i.e., nodes not yet branched) and *passive* nodes (i.e., branched or fathomed). To store the information associated with the nodes, a vector V and two matrices MF and MV are used; vector V contains the *scalar information*, the matrices the *vectorial information*. The former is used to describe the decision tree structure; the vectorial information associated with node h is used for the parametric solution of the MAPs corresponding to nodes descending from h.

To increase the effectiveness of the implementation, a reduction procedure has been applied at the root node of the branch-decision tree so as to remove from G the arcs which cannot belong to an optimal solution. In this way the original digraph G can be transformed into a sparse one, say $\tilde{G} = (V, \tilde{A})$, allowing sparse cost matrix procedures to be used for the solution of the *MAP*s associated with the nodes of the branch-decision tree.

2. PROGRAM

The algorithm was coded in American National Standard Fortran 77 and has been checked for portability using the NAGWARE Fortran 77 portability verifier [NAG 1992]. The code has been tested on a CONVEX C120, on a SUN SPARC/2, on a VAX 6000/400, on a DECstation 5000/240, on an SGI Challenge, and on a PC 486/33.

The whole package is completely self-contained, and communication with it is achieved solely through the parameter list of CDT. The package can be invoked with the statement

CALL CDT (N,ORDX,X,MAXND,INF,ALPHA,ZEUR,ZSTAR, FSTAR,LB0,LBC,NEXP,NPROBQ,NASS, ACTIVE,LOPT,SPARS,AVSON,ERR)

The input parameters are:

N = number of vertices (n);

X = working array used to store all the information needed for the branch-and-bound algorithm: in input it contains the original cost

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No. 4, December 1995.

412 • G Carpaneto et al.

matrix, stored column by column, so as to store $a_{i,j}$ in the *k*th element of X, with k = (j-1)n + i;

- ORDX = size of array X;
- MAXND = maximum allowed number of MAPs considered (set to -1 if no limitation is imposed);
 - INF = very large positive integer (with INF + $max\{a_{i,j}:(i, j) \in A\}$ less than the maximum integer value representable in the computer);

```
ALPHA = parameter used to define an artificial upper bound UB:
if ALPHA > 0 then UB = z(AP) \times ALPHA
if ALPHA \leq 0 an upper bound is computed;
```

ZEUR = value used to define a true upper bound, if ALPHA ≤ 0 : if ZEUR > 0 then CDT uses as upper bound the minimum between ZEUR and the value provided by the patching heuristic; if $ZEUR \leq 0$ then CDT uses the value given by the patching heuristic.

The value of ORDX must satisfy:

ORDX >
$$n^2 + 21n + 2 + |\tilde{A}|$$
.

The output values are:

- ZEUR = upper bound value used by CDT;
- ZSTAR = value of the optimal solution (z* or value of the best solution so far);
- FSTAR = solution vector corresponding to ZSTAR (FSTAR(i) = j if arc (i, j) is in the optimal Hamiltonian circuit, <math>i = 1, ..., n);
 - LB0 = value of the AP solved at the root node;
 - LBC = value of the highest lower bound found so far, when algorithm stops, i.e., value of the lower bound associated with the last problem extracted from the queue. This is a valid lower bound for the original instance;
- NEXP = number of explored nodes;
- NPROBQ = number of problems stored in the queue;
 - NASS = number of completely solved MAPs;
- ACTIVE = number of active nodes in the queue, when the program stops;
 - LOPT = level of the optimal solution in the branch-decision tree;
- SPARS = percentage sparsity of the reduced matrix;
- AVSON = average number of son nodes;
 - ERR = error condition; it can assume the following values:
 - -1: an error condition occurred, and an explicative message was printed on logical unit 6;
 - 0: optimal solution found.

All the parameters are integer. After execution, all the input parameters are unchanged, except the first n^2 elements of X. The program needs no ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 21, No 4, December 1995

additional internal arrays; hence its global core memory requirements are ORDX + n elements.

When the program terminates, two situations may occur: (1) the number of solved *MAP*s is less then the input parameter MAXND (or MAXND was set to -1); (2) the number of solved *MAP*s is equal to MAXND. In case (1) two subcases must be distinguished. If the value ZSTAR is equal to the input value of ZEUR, then the upper bound ZEUR is optimal, and the solution in vector FSTAR does not correspond to the optimal one. Otherwise (ZSTAR less than the input value of ZEUR), the optimal solution is defined by vector FSTAR. In case (2), if ZEUR is less than its input value, and ALPHA ≤ 0 , then vector FSTAR defines a heuristic solution for the instance; otherwise FSTAR has no meaning. In any case the value LBC is a valid lower bound for the instance.

Finally we note that the CPU time required to solve a single instance may be very large, so periodic printings have been introduced to monitor the correct running of the program. At the root node, when the first assignment problem has been solved and an upper bound has been determined, CDT prints the following message:

ROOT NODE: ZSTAR = xxx LB0 = yyy

where xxx is the current best solution value (possibly artificial if ALPHA > 0), and yyy is the lower bound value at the root node. During the exploration of the branch-decision tree, CDT prints the following message, every 1000 nodes inserted in the queue:

ZSTAR = xxx LBC = yyy NPROBQ = zzz ACTIVE = www

where xxx is the current best solution value; yyy is the value of the lower bound associated with the last problem extracted from the queue; zzz is the number of nodes currently inserted in the queue; and www is the number of active problems in the queue. The same printing is made when a new (better) solution is found or value LBC is updated.

3. EXAMPLE

The subroutine CDT can be invoked by means of the following main program:

PROGRAM MAIN C C C SAMPLE CALLING PROGRAM FOR CDT INTEGER ERR, ORDX, ZSTAR, ZEUR, ACTIVE INTEGER X(10000),FSTAR(100) ORDX = 10000 INF = 99999999 ALPHA = -1MAXND = -1ZEUR = -1READ N (WITH N .LE. 100) AND THE COST MATRIX С OPEN(UNIT = 1, FILE = 'INP.DAT', STATUS = 'OLD') READ(1,*) N CALL READA(N,X(1)) CLOSE(1)

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No 4, December 1995.

414 • G. Carpaneto et al.

```
С
         CALL CDT(N,ORDX,X,MAXND,INF,ALPHA,ZEUR,ZSTAR,FSTAR,LB0,LBC,
      1
                    NEXP,NPROBQ,NASS,ACTIVE,LOPT,SPARS,AVSON,ERR)
С
         IF (ERR.EQ.0) GOTO 10
      WRITE(6,'(" SOLUTION NOT OPTIMAL ")')
WRITE(6,'(" ZSTAR = ",I8," LB0 = ",I8," I
"SPARS = ",F8.4)') ZSTAR,LB0,LBC,SPARS
                                              = '',18,'' LBC = '',18,
   10
         WRITE(6,'(" N.EXP = ",I8," N.PROB.Q = ",I8," N.ASS = ",I8,
       1 "ACTIVE = ",I8," AV.SON = ",F8.2)')NEXP,NPROBQ,NASS,ACTIVE,
       1 AVSON
         WRITE(6,'(2014)') (FSTAR(I),I = 1,N)
         STOP
         END
С
         SUBROUTINE READA(N,A)
         INTEGER A(N,N)
         DO 10 I = 1, N
        READ(1, *) (A(I,J),J = 1,N)
   10
         RETURN
         END
```

The program reads all input data from logical unit 1 and writes the output on logical unit 6. To read the problem costs easily in matricial form, instead of explicitly defining the entries of vector X, subroutine READA was introduced.

A problem with 10 vertices was considered as an example; the following data define the instance:

10									
9999	964	786	990	345	63	386	999	361	126
943	9999	961	706	800	488	482	198	743	190
224	472	9999	326	695	362	420	193	203	0
853	605	499	9999	963	781	179	370	531	289
99	386	770	634	9999	420	295	487	355	36
919	864	123	455	482	9999	156	585	350	812
618	711	810	20	160	180	9999	129	897	245
438	488	750	21	620	631	251	9999	233	156
60	524	203	944	281	167	22	880	9999	734
417	750	470	474	98	314	866	714	841	9999

The output of the program is:

ROOT NODE:	ZSTAR =	1695 LB0 =	1360	
ZSTAR =	1695 LBC =	1452 NPROBQ $=$	3 ACTIVE =	1
ZSTAR =	1574 LBC =	1452 NPROBQ =	3 ACTIVE =	1
ZSTAR =	1574 LBC =	1461 NPROBQ $=$	3 ACTIVE =	0
ZSTAR =	1553 LBC =	1461 NPROBQ =	3 ACTIVE =	0
ZSTAR = 1553	3 LB0 = 136	D LBC = 1461 SPAR	RS = 51.1111	
N.EXP =	3 N.PROB.Q =	3 N.ASS =	5 ACTIVE =	0 AV.SON = 3.00
6 10	9 2 1	3 8 4 7	' 5	

The corresponding branch-decision tree is given in Figure 1: the numbers inside the circles represent the lower bounds; those near the circles give the

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No 4, December 1995



Fig. 1. Branch-decision tree of the example.

order in which subproblems were generated. The initial upper bound computed at the root node is 1695. The crossed nodes correspond to subproblems fathomed by the current upper bound. The solution of the assignment problem associated with node 8 is a Hamiltonian Circuit and corresponds to the optimal solution.

REFERENCES

BELLMORE, M. AND MALONE, J. C. 1971. Pathology of traveling salesman subtour elimination algorithms. Oper. Res. 19, 278-307.

CARPANETO, G. AND TOTH, P. 1980. Some new branching and bounding criteria for the asymmetric travelling salesman problem. *Manage. Sci. 26*, 736-743.

CARPANETO, G., DELL'AMICO, M., AND TOTH, P. 1990. Exact solution of large-scale asymmetric travelling salesman problems. Tech. Rep., Dept. di Economic Politica, Univ. of Modena, Italy. NAG. 1992. NAGWARE F77 Tools Manual. 2nd ed. The Numerical Algorithms Group, Ltd., Oxford, U.K.

Received January 1990; revised May 1993; accepted December 1994

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 1995.