

# Identifying Disruption Precursors by Anomaly Detection on Bolometer Tomography

D. R. Ferreira<sup>1</sup>, P. J. Carvalho<sup>1,3</sup>, C. Sozzi<sup>2</sup>, P. J. Lomas<sup>3</sup>, and JET Contributors<sup>\*</sup>

*EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK*

<sup>1</sup>*IPFN, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal*

<sup>2</sup>*ISTP, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Milano, Italia*

<sup>3</sup>*CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK*

The JET baseline scenario [1] is being developed to achieve high fusion performance and sustained fusion power. However, with higher plasma current and higher input power, an increase in pulse disruptivity is being observed. Although there is a wide range of possible disruption causes [2], the present disruptions seem to be closely related to radiative phenomena such as impurity accumulation, core radiation, and radiative collapse [3]. In this work, we focus on bolometry to reconstruct the plasma radiation profile and, on top of it, we apply anomaly detection to identify the radiation patterns that precede disruptions, in a time frame that is relevant for disruption avoidance or mitigation.

The approach makes extensive use of machine learning. First, we train a surrogate model for plasma tomography [4] based on matrix multiplication; this provides a fast method to compute the plasma radiation profiles across the full extent of any given pulse. Then, we train a variational autoencoder (VAE) [5] to reproduce the radiation profiles by encoding them into a latent distribution and subsequently decoding them; as an anomaly detector, the VAE struggles to reproduce unusual behaviours, which includes not only the actual disruptions but their precursors as well. These precursors are identified based on an analysis of the anomaly score across all baseline pulses in recent campaigns.

## References

- [1] L. Garzotti et al., Nucl. Fusion 59, 076037 (2019)
- [2] P.C. de Vries et al., Nucl. Fusion 51, 053018 (2011)
- [3] E. Joffrin et al., Nucl. Fusion 54, 013011 (2014)
- [4] L.C. Ingesson et al., Nucl. Fusion 38, 1675 (1998)
- [5] D.P. Kingma and M. Welling, Proc. ICLR (2014)

---

<sup>\*</sup> See the author list of E. Joffrin et al., Nucl. Fusion 59, 112021 (2019)