

Artificial variables in Linear Programming

Adapted from H&L [2005] and Taha [1992]

Equality constraints [H&L, p 125]

Suppose a modification to the original *Wyndor* problem, as follows ({1}).

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\max] z &= 3x_1 + 5x_2 \\
 \text{s.to } x_1 &\leq 4 \\
 &2x_2 \leq 12 \\
 &3x_1 + 2x_2 = 18
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $x \geq 0$. Thus, the third constraint is now an equality. This can become

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0) \quad z - 3x_1 - 5x_2 &= 0 \\
 (1) \quad x_1 + x_3 &= 4 \\
 (2) \quad 2x_2 + x_4 &= 12 \\
 (3) \quad 3x_1 + 2x_2 &= 18
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

However, these equations **do not have** an obvious initial (basic feasible) solution. So, the **artificial variable technique** is applied. With M a very high number ($+\infty$) —this is the **Big M method***—, we can *augment* the system {2} to obtain†

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0) \quad z - 3x_1 - 5x_2 - M\bar{x}_5 &= 0 \\
 (1) \quad x_1 + x_3 &= 4 \\
 (2) \quad 2x_2 + x_4 &= 12 \\
 (3) \quad 3x_1 + 2x_2 + \bar{x}_5 &= 18
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Converting equation 0 to proper form

In {3}, the (obvious) initial basic variables are x_3, x_4 and \bar{x}_5 (non-basic $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$). However, this system is not yet in proper form for Gaussian elimination because a basic variable (\bar{x}_5) has a **non-zero coefficient** in Eq. 0. Indeed, all the basic variables must be (algebraically) eliminated from Eq. 0 before the simplex method can find the entering basic variable. (This elimination is necessary so that the negative of the coefficient of each non-basic variable will give the rate at which z would increase if that non-basic variable were to be increased from 0 while adjusting the values of the basic variables accordingly.)

To eliminate \bar{x}_5 from Eq. 0, we need to subtract from Eq. 0 the product M times Eq. 3:

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
 z & -3x_1 & -5x_2 & + M\bar{x}_5 & = 0 \\
 & -M(3x_1 & + 2x_2 & + \bar{x}_5 & = 18) \\
 \hline
 z & -(3M+3)x_1 & -(2M+5)x_2 & & = -18M
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

* Another method to solve this matter is the “two-phase method”.

† To obtain a *different* problem !

In this example, there is only one equation with an artificial variable. If there were **several** equations with artificial variables, we would have to subtract accordingly.

Application of the simplex method

The new Eq. 0 gives z in terms of just the non-basic variables (x_1, x_2):

$$z = -18M + (3M + 3)x_1 + (2M + 5)x_2 \quad \{5\}$$

Since the coefficient of x_1 is the **best** (greatest), this variable is chosen as the *entering* variable.

The leaving variable, as always, will correspond to the smallest “positive” (non-negative) ratio (from the so-called “minimum ratio test”).

Another (more general) example (Taha [1992], p 72)

$$\begin{aligned} [\min] z &= 4x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{s.to} \quad 3x_1 + x_2 &= 3 \\ 4x_1 + 3x_2 &\geq 6 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &\leq 4 \end{aligned} \quad \{6\}$$

with $x \geq 0$. The *augmented* standard form is

$\begin{aligned} [\min] z &= 4x_1 + x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 + Ma_1 + Ma_2 \\ \text{s.to} \quad 3x_1 + x_2 &+ a_1 = 3 \\ 4x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 &+ a_2 = 6 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &+ x_4 = 4 \end{aligned}$	{7}
--	-----

References

- HILLIER, Frederick S., and Gerald J. LIEBERMAN, 2005, “Introduction to Operations Research”, 8.th ed., McGraw-Hill
- TAHA, Hamdy, 1992, “Operations Research: an introduction”, 5.th ed., MacMillan Publishing Company

