# Integer Programming

Wolfram Wiesemann

December 6, 2007

Branch & Bound Algorithms: The Big Picture Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration Divide and Conquer Principle Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's Example

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) Problem:

min 
$$x_0 = c^{\mathrm{T}}x$$

subject to

**Note:**  $x_j \in N \setminus Z$  are continuous,  $x_j \in Z$  are integral.

Additional assumption this lecture: Finite bounds  $\underline{x}_j$ ,  $\overline{x}_j$  for  $j \in Z$ :  $x_j \in \{\underline{x}_j, \underline{x}_j + 1, \dots, \overline{x}_j\}$ .

### Branch & Bound Algorithms: The Big Picture Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration

Divide and Conquer Principle Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's Example

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration

**Idea:** Loop through all possible values of the integer variables (without loss of generality,  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_z\}$  with  $z \le n$ ) and solve LP problems in the remaining (continuous) variables:

for 
$$x_1 \in \{\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_1 + 1, \dots, \overline{x}_1\}$$
 do  
for  $x_2 \in \{\underline{x}_2, \underline{x}_2 + 1, \dots, \overline{x}_2\}$  do  
...  
for  $x_z \in \{\underline{x}_z, \underline{x}_z + 1, \dots, \overline{x}_z\}$  do  
Solve LP in  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$  with  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  fixed.  
Update tentative optimal solution if necessary.  
end for  
...  
end for  
Print (final) optimal solution or report infeasibility.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### **Complexity?**

Branch & Bound Algorithms: The Big Picture Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration Divide and Conquer Principle Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's Example

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Divide and Conquer Principle

Need to structure search so that we touch only few solutions.

One Approach: Divide and Conquer

- *Divide* a large problem into several smaller ones.
- Conquer by working on the smaller problems.

### Branch & Bound:

- ▶ Solve continuous relaxation of original problem  $P_0 \Rightarrow x^*(P_0)$ .
- Divide (Branch): Choose p ∈ Z with x<sub>p</sub><sup>\*</sup> ∉ Z. Create two subproblems, P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>, with added constraints x<sub>p</sub> ≤ ⌊x<sub>p</sub><sup>\*</sup>⌋ and x<sub>p</sub> ≥ ⌈x<sub>p</sub><sup>\*</sup>⌉, respectively.
- Conquer (Bound/Fathom): If optimal solution of continuus relaxation of P<sub>i</sub> is worse than any known feasible solution for P<sub>0</sub>, disregard P<sub>i</sub>.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

**Note:** Any solution to  $P_0$  is also feasible for *either*  $P_1$  *or*  $P_2$ . Hence, by solving  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , we solve  $P_0$ .

# Divide and Conquer Principle

Recursive application of divide and conquer principle leads to binary tree:



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Terminal nodes = problems that remain to be solved.

### Branch & Bound Algorithms: The Big Picture Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration Divide and Conquer Principle Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's Example

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's

#### **Preliminaries:**

► *P*<sup>0</sup> denotes original problem:

min 
$$x_0 = c^{\mathrm{T}}x$$

subject to

$$\begin{array}{ll} Ax = b \\ x_j \geq 0 & \text{for } j \in N = \{1, \dots, n\} \\ x_j \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } j \in Z \subseteq N. \end{array}$$

- For any problem P, x\*(P) denotes optimal solution for continuous relaxation of P.
- ► OPT denotes objective function value of best *feasible* solution (for P<sub>0</sub>) found so far. At beginning, OPT = ∞ or based on a priori knowledge (heuristic).

# Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's

### Algorithm:

- 1. Initialization.
  - Set list of problems to  $\{P_0\}$ . Initialize *OPT*.
  - Solve LP relaxation of  $P_0 \Rightarrow x^*(P_0)$ .
  - If  $x^*(P_0)$  feasible for  $P_0$ ,  $OPT = c^T x^*(P_0)$  and stop.
- 2. **Problem Selection.** Choose a problem *P* from list whose  $x^*(P)$  has  $c^Tx^*(P) < OPT$ . If no such *P* exists, stop.
- 3. Variable Selection. Choose  $x_p \in Z$  with  $x_p^*(P) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 4. Branching.
  - Create two new problems P' and P'' with  $x_p \leq \lfloor x_p^*(P) \rfloor$  and  $x_p \geq \lceil x_p^*(P) \rceil$ , respectively.
  - ▶ Solve continuous relaxations of P' and  $P'' \Rightarrow x^*(P')$ ,  $x^*(P'')$ .
  - ▶ **Update** *OPT*: If *P'* feasible,  $x^*(P')$  feasible for *P*<sub>0</sub> and  $c^Tx^*(P') < OPT \Rightarrow OPT = c^Tx^*(P')$ . Same for *P''*.
  - Further Inspection: If P' feasible and c<sup>T</sup>x\*(P') < OPT ⇒ add P' to list of problems. Same for P''.

Afterwards, go back to (2).

Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's

### Output:

- $OPT = \infty : P_0$  is infeasible.
- $OPT < \infty$  :  $P_0$  is feasible. OPT = optimal objective value.

Optimal Solution: Obtained via slight modification

- Store vector  $\hat{x}$  for best feasible solution (for  $P_0$ ) found so far.
- Whenever *OPT* is updated (Steps 1+4), also update  $\hat{x}$ .

**Termination:** Under assumption of finite bounds  $\underline{x}_j$ ,  $\overline{x}_j$  for  $j \in Z$ , algorithm terminates in finitely many steps.

### Branch & Bound Algorithms: The Big Picture

Solving MIP's: Complete Enumeration Divide and Conquer Principle Branch & Bound Algorithm for MIP's Example

Assume the following problem is given:

max  $2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4$ 

subject to

$$5x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 15$$
  

$$2x_1 + 6x_2 + 10x_3 + 8x_4 \le 60$$
  

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 8$$
  

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 \le 16.$$

The bounds are  $x_1 \in [0,3]$ ,  $x_2 \in [0,7]$ ,  $x_3 \in [0,5]$  and  $x_4 \in [0,5]$ . Furthermore,  $x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

Change to minimization objective (not necessary!):

min 
$$-2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4$$

subject to

$$5x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 15$$
  

$$2x_1 + 6x_2 + 10x_3 + 8x_4 \le 60$$
  

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 8$$
  

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 \le 16.$$

 $x_1 \in [0,3], x_2 \in [0,7], x_3 \in [0,5]$  and  $x_4 \in [0,5]. x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

### 1. Initialization.

- Set list of problems to  $\{P_0\}$ . Initialize *OPT*.
- Solve LP relaxation of  $P_0 \Rightarrow x^*(P_0)$ .
- If  $x^*(P_0)$  feasible for  $P_0$ ,  $OPT = c^T x^*(P_0)$  and stop.

$$(P_0) \begin{array}{c} x^*(P_0) = (0.08, 7, 0, 0.62) \\ c^T x^*(P) = -22.4 \end{array}$$

Problem list:  $\{P_0\}$ ,  $OPT = \infty$ .

- 1. **Problem Selection.** Choose a problem *P* from list whose  $x^*(P)$  has  $c^Tx^*(P) < OPT$ . If no such *P* exists, stop.
- 2. Variable Selection. Choose  $x_p \in Z$  with  $x_p^*(P) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3. Branching.
  - Create two new problems P' and P'' with  $x_p \leq \lfloor x_p^*(P) \rfloor$  and  $x_p \geq \lceil x_p^*(P) \rceil$ , respectively.



Problem list:  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ ,  $OPT = \infty$ .

### 1. Branching.

- Solve continuous relaxations of P' and P'' ⇒ x\*(P'), x\*(P'').
- ▶ **Update** *OPT*: If *P'* feasible,  $x^*(P')$  feasible for  $P_0$  and  $c^Tx^*(P') < OPT \Rightarrow OPT = c^Tx^*(P')$ . Same for *P''*.
- Further Inspection: If P' feasible and c<sup>T</sup>x\*(P') < OPT ⇒ add P' to list of problems. Same for P''.

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの



Problem list:  $\{P_1\}$ , OPT = -18.

- 1. **Problem Selection.** Choose a problem *P* from list whose  $x^*(P)$  has  $c^Tx^*(P) < OPT$ . If no such *P* exists, stop.
- 2. Variable Selection. Choose  $x_p \in Z$  with  $x_p^*(P) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3. Branching.
  - Create two new problems P' and P'' with  $x_p \leq \lfloor x_p^*(P) \rfloor$  and  $x_p \geq \lceil x_p^*(P) \rceil$ , respectively.



Problem list:  $\{P_3, P_4\}$ , OPT = -18.

### 1. Branching.

- Solve continuous relaxations of P' and  $P'' \Rightarrow x^*(P'), x^*(P'')$ .
- ▶ **Update** *OPT*: If *P'* feasible,  $x^*(P')$  feasible for  $P_0$  and  $c^Tx^*(P') < OPT \Rightarrow OPT = c^Tx^*(P')$ . Same for *P''*.
- Further Inspection: If P' feasible and c<sup>T</sup>x\*(P') < OPT ⇒ add P' to list of problems. Same for P''.



Problem list:  $\{P_3, P_4\}$ , OPT = -18.

- 1. **Problem Selection.** Choose a problem *P* from list whose  $x^*(P)$  has  $c^Tx^*(P) < OPT$ . If no such *P* exists, stop.
- 2. Variable Selection. Choose  $x_p \in Z$  with  $x_p^*(P) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3. Branching.
  - Create two new problems P' and P'' with  $x_p \leq \lfloor x_p^*(P) \rfloor$  and  $x_p \geq \lceil x_p^*(P) \rceil$ , respectively.



Problem list:  $\{P_4, P_5, P_6\}$ , OPT = -18.

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日 うらの

### 1. Branching.

- ▶ Solve continuous relaxations of P' and  $P'' \Rightarrow x^*(P')$ ,  $x^*(P'')$ .
- ▶ **Update** *OPT*: If *P'* feasible,  $x^*(P')$  feasible for  $P_0$  and  $c^Tx^*(P') < OPT \Rightarrow OPT = c^Tx^*(P')$ . Same for *P''*.
- Further Inspection: If P' feasible and c<sup>T</sup>x\*(P') < OPT ⇒ add P' to list of problems. Same for P''.



Problem list:  $\{P_4\}$ , OPT = -21.

- 1. **Problem Selection.** Choose a problem *P* from list whose  $x^*(P)$  has  $c^Tx^*(P) < OPT$ . If no such *P* exists, stop.
- 2. Variable Selection. Choose  $x_p \in Z$  with  $x_p^*(P) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3. Branching.
  - Create two new problems P' and P'' with  $x_p \leq \lfloor x_p^*(P) \rfloor$  and  $x_p \geq \lceil x_p^*(P) \rceil$ , respectively.



Problem list:  $\{P_7, P_8\}$ , OPT = -21.

### 1. Branching.

- ▶ Solve continuous relaxations of P' and  $P'' \Rightarrow x^*(P'), x^*(P'')$ .
- ▶ **Update** *OPT*: If *P'* feasible,  $x^*(P')$  feasible for  $P_0$  and  $c^Tx^*(P') < OPT \Rightarrow OPT = c^Tx^*(P')$ . Same for *P''*.
- Further Inspection: If P' feasible and c<sup>T</sup>x\*(P') < OPT ⇒ add P' to list of problems. Same for P''.



Problem list: {}, OPT = -21. Done;  $\hat{x} = (0, 7, 0, 0)$ .