

A graph based approach to motif clustering

Alexandre P. Francisco^{*1}, Arlindo L. Oliveira¹ and Ana T. Freitas¹

¹INESC-ID / IST, Technical University of Lisbon, PORTUGAL

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: aplf@inesc-id.pt

1 Abstract

Many algorithms have been proposed to date for the problem of finding biologically significant motifs in promoter regions. They can be classified into two large families: combinatorial methods and probabilistic methods. Probabilistic methods have been used more extensively, since they require less input from the user, and their output is easier to interpret. Combinatorial methods have the potential to identify hard to detect motifs, but their output is much harder to interpret, since it may consist of hundreds or thousands of motifs. In this work, we propose a method that processes the output of combinatorial motif finders in order to find groups of motifs that represent variations of the same motif, thus reducing the output to a manageable size. This processing is done by building a graph that represents the co-occurrences of motifs, and finding clusters or communities in this graph. Structured motifs, i.e., motifs with gaps or spacers, are also processed. We show that this innovative approach leads to a method that is as easy to use as a probabilistic motif finder, and as sensitive to low quorum motifs as a combinatorial motif finder. The method was integrated with two combinatorial motif finders, and made available on the Web.

2 Motif finding

Problem: discovering meaningful nucleotide sequences in promoter regions, that correspond to loci of attachment of transcription factors, without prior knowledge of their characteristics.

Highly conserved regions:

```
TAAATGTCGGCCCATTCCGTTTAAATCCGTTTCTATTTCCTTTAGGTCCTTCC
GATGAGTCCGTTGGATCTTTGGTGAAGAACAATAAGAGGTTGTCATATTCTTG
CGCAATCGGCTATTAACGGCTTTACGTCA TCCGTTGGCGGGTCAAGCGAGCCG
GTTGTAATTTTGGCATGTGCTATTGTATGAAGATAACGGCGTGGTCCGTTGAAA
TCCGTTCTATGTCGCTATGAAGCCGTCTCACAGTAGAGCCATTGAGAT TCCGTT
GAGAGAGAAAGAACAATATGAAATAGAGAGGACGGACATAAGTCTCCGTTGAA
```

- rarely conserved to such degree, most are only partially conserved;
- exist many repetitive regions, filtering out noise is challenging.

Motif finders	Advantages	Disadvantages
Probabilistic	strong motifs; almost parameter free	large datasets; weaker signals
Combinatorial	strong and weak signals; do not lose motifs	huge output with many variations; many parameters


We want to combine the best of both worlds.

3 Method

1. Given a list of motifs, build the motif co-occurrence graph by checking co-occurrence conditions for each pair of motifs, in our case: **minimum overlap? minimum quorum?**

```
ATGAGTCCGTTGGA TCTTTGGTGTGCATATTCTTG
GCTATTGTATGAAGATAACGGCTGGTCCGTTGAAA
GAAAATAGAGAGGACGGACATAAGTCTCCGTTGAA
TAGTCCGTTGGA GCAGATGTGTATTATGTTGATGTT
TAGTACAGTATG TCCGTTGGA TAGCGCTCCAGAACT
TGCGCGTCATCTTTTGTCTCCGTTCTTAGTAATTT
AATTTTCTCGCGGAAGTAGATCTCCGTTGAAAAA
ACTCGTGATCCGTTGGA AAGGTCTCTACTTATGTT
```

If conditions are satisfied, add an edge to the co-occurrence graph.



3. Process groups:

Group alignment:

```
---TCCGTTGGA---
---TCCGTTGG---
--TTCCGTTGG--
----CCGTTGG--
---TCCGTTG---
--TTCCGTT---
----CGTTGG---
----CGTTGGA-
----CCGTTG---
-GTTCGG-
---TCCG-
CCCTC-
-----GTGGA-
-----GTGGA
```

With subquadratic complexity, we are able to process thousands of motifs in a few minutes.

2. Find communities in the graph [4,5].

4 Results

Motif redundancy is **effectively reduced**, even when the original number of motifs is large.

Datasets	N.seq	N.mot	N.edg	N.com	Modul
DeRisi	25	204	299	89	0.80
Aft2p	193	2026	3397	202	0.84
Yap1p	225	2065	3541	168	0.84
2,4D	486	2045	3143	271	0.86

Note: *N.mot* is the number of statistical significant motifs for the original motif finder (p -value > 0.001).

Initial results obtained with the MUSA motif finder [3].

Our method keeps the sensitivity of combinatorial motif finders:

- does not lose low quorum motifs;
- returns both strong and weak signals.

High values for modularity indicate **strong community structure**, i.e., well defined clusters.

It deals also with **complex motifs**, including their statistical significance evaluation.



Co-occurrence graphs are sparse and their degree distribution follows a power law.

Given a standard motif representation and a set of sequences, we can process the output of **any motif finder**. We can even adopt different co-occurrence conditions.

E.g., by removing the overlap condition, we should be able to identify complex motifs with larger gaps undetected by usual motif finders.

Group alignment:

```
-AAAA- (4,6) AAAT
CAAAAG (3,5) AAAT
GAAAA- (4,6) AAAT
TAAA- (4,6) AAAT
```

5 Implementation

- In C, with an improved algorithm for community finding on large graphs [5] and a statistical evaluator for composed motifs.
- Integration with YEASTRACT tools [2] and MUSA [3].
- Framework available at <http://kdbio.inesc-id.pt/needle/>

6 References

- [1] Francisco AP, Oliveira AL, Freitas AT. In *ISBRA*, LNCS 4983:220-231, 2008
- [2] Monteiro PT, et al.: *NAR* 36(DI):132-136, 2008
- [3] Mendes ND, et al.: *Bioinformatics* 22(24):2996-3002, 2006
- [4] Clauset A, Newman MEJ, Moore C. *Phy. Rev. E* 70, 2004
- [5] Francisco AP and Oliveira AL. In *CompleNet*, CCIS to appear, 2010